arXiv:1501.05410v1 [math.AC] 22 Jan 2015

Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings with respect to a semidualizing module

GUOQIANG ZHAO* and JUXIANG SUN

Abstract

Let C be a semidualizing module. We first investigate the properties of finitely generated G_C-projective modules. Then, relative to C, we introduce and study the rings of Gorenstein (weak) global dimensions at most 1, which we call C-Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings, and prove that every C-Gorenstein hereditary ring is both coherent and C-Gorenstein semihereditary.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13D02, 13D05, 13D07, 18G25.
Keywords and phrases: semidualizing modules, G_C-projective (injective) modules, G_C-flat modules, G_C-(semi)hereditary rings, coherent tings.

1. Introduction

As a nice generation of Gorenstein homological dimensions [4], White [9] introduced the G_C-projective, injective and flat dimensions, where C is a semidualizing module. The author showed that they share many common properties with the Gorenstein homological dimensions, which have been extensively studied in recent decades. However, the proofs may become more complicated.

It is well-known that, the classical global dimensions of rings play an important role in the theory of rings. Motivated by Bennis and Mahadou's [1] ideas to study the global dimensions of a ring R in terms of Gorenstein homological dimensions, recently, Zhao and Sun [10] studied the global dimensions of R with respect to a semidualizing module C, and proved that $\sup\{G_C\text{-pd}_R(M)|M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\} = \sup\{G_C\text{-id}_R(M)|M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}$. The common value, denoted by $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-gl.dim}(R)$, is called the C-Gorenstein global dimension of R. Similarly, the C-Gorenstein weak global dimension of R is also defined as $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-}w\text{gl.dim}(R) = \sup\{G_C\text{-fd}_R(M)|M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}$.

---

*Corresponding author

On the other hand, in classical homological algebra, the rings of (weak) global dimesions at most 1, called (semi)hereditary [7], are paid more attention, and the following are well-known: (1) every hereditary ring is coherent and semihereditary; (2) a ring R is semihereditary if and only if every finitely generated submodule of a projective module is projective. Therefore, it is interesting to consider the following questions.

Question A. Is it true that every C-Gorenstein hereditary ring is coherent and C-Gorenstein semihereditary?

Question B. Is it true that R is C-Gorenstein semihereditary if and only if every finitely generated submodule of a G_C-projective module is G_C-projective?

Based on the results mentioned above, in this paper we mainly study the properties of C-Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings and discuss the two questions A and B.

2. **Preliminaries**

Throughout this work R is a commutative ring with unity. For an R-module T, let $\mathrm{add}_R T$ be the subclass of R-modules consisting of all modules isomorphic to direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of T.

We define $\mathrm{gen}^*(T) = \{M$ is an R-module $\mid$ there exists an exact sequence $\cdots \to T_i \to \cdots \to T_1 \to T_0 \to M \to 0$ with $T_i \in \mathrm{add}_R T$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(T, -)$ leaves it exact $\}$ (see [8]). $\mathrm{cogen}^*(T)$ is defined dually.

Semidualizing modules, defined next, form the basis for our categories of interest.

Definition 2.1. ([9]) *An R-module C is semidualizing if*

(1) *C admits a degreewise finite projective resolution,*

(2) *The natural homothety map $R \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism, and*

(3) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(C, C) = 0$ *for any $i \geq 1$.*

In the following, we always assume that C is a semidualizing R-module.

Definition 2.2. ([6]) *An R-module is called C-projective if it has the form $C \otimes_R P$ for some projective R-module P. An R-module is called C-injective if it has the form $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, I)$ for some injective R-module I.*

Definition 2.3. ([9]) *An R-module M is called G_C-projective if there exists an exact sequence of R-modules*

$$\mathbb{X} = \cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to C \otimes_R P_{-1} \to C \otimes_R P_{-2} \to \cdots$$

with all P_i projective, such that $M \cong \mathrm{Im}(P_0 \to C \otimes_R P_{-1})$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbb{X}, C \otimes_R P)$ is exact for any projective R-module P.

G_C-injective modules are defined in a dual manner, and denoted by $\mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ (respectively $\mathcal{GI}_C(R)$) the class of G_C-projective (respectively injective) R-modules.

Definition 2.4. ([9]) *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of R-modules and M an R-module. An $\mathcal{X}$-resolution of M is an exact sequence of R-modules as follows:*

$$\cdots \to X_n \to \cdots \to X_1 \to X_0 \to M \to 0$$

with each $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for any $i \geq 0$. The $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension of M is the quantity

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-pd}_R(M) = \inf\{\sup\{n \geq 0 | X_n \neq 0\} | X \text{ is an } \mathcal{X}\text{-resolution of } M\}.$$

The $\mathcal{X}$-*coresolution* and $\mathcal{X}$-*injective dimension* of M are defined dually. For simplicity, we write $G_C\text{-pd}_R(M) = \mathcal{GP}_C(R)\text{-pd}_R(M)$ and $G_C\text{-id}_R(M) = \mathcal{GI}_C(R)\text{-id}_R(M)$.

Definition 2.5. ([5]) *M is called G_C-flat if there is an exact sequence of R-modules*

$$\mathbb{Y} = \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to C \otimes_R F_{-1} \to C \otimes_R F_{-2} \to \cdots$$

with all F_i flat, such that $M \cong \mathrm{Im}(F_0 \to C \otimes_R F_{-1})$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, I) \otimes_R \mathbb{Y}$ is still exact for any injective R-module I. We define $G_C\text{-fd}_R(M)$ analogously to $G_C\text{-pd}_R(M)$.

Definition 2.6. *A ring R is called C-Gorenstein hereditary (G_C-hereditary for short) if every submodule of a projective R-module is G_C-projective (i.e. $G_C\text{-gl.dim}(R) \leq 1$), and R is said to be C-Gorenstein semihereditary (G_C-semihereditary for short) if R is coherent and every submodule of a flat R-module is G_C-flat.*

3. *C*-Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings

We use $(-)^C$ to denote the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, C)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that M is a finitely generated G_C-projective R-module. Then*

(1) $M \in$ cogen$^*(C)$,

(2) $M^C \in$ gen$^*(R)$.

Proof. (1) Because M is G_C-projective, there is an exact sequence $0 \to M \to C \otimes_R F \to G \to 0$, in which F is free and G is G_C-projective by [9, Observation 2.3 and Proposition 2.9]. Since M is also finitely generated, there exist a finitely generated free submodule R^{α_0} with α_0 an integer, and a free submodule F' of F, such that $M \subseteq C \otimes_R R^{\alpha_0}$ and $F = R^{\alpha_0} \oplus F'$. Setting $H = \mathrm{Coker}(M \to C \otimes_R R^{\alpha_0})$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to C \otimes_R R^{\alpha_0} \to H \to 0$$

with $C \otimes_R R^{\alpha_0} \cong C^{\alpha_0} \in \mathrm{add}_R C$ and $H \oplus (C \otimes_R F') \cong G$. From [9, Theorem 2.8], we know that H is finitely generated G_C-projective. Repeating this process to H and so on, one has an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to C^{\alpha_0} \to C^{\alpha_1} \to \cdots \qquad (*)$$

with each image finitely generated G_C-projective, which implies the sequence $(*)$ is exact after applying $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, C)$. Therefore, $M \in \mathrm{cogen}^*(C)$.

(2) From (1), applying $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, C)$ to $(*)$ provides an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to (C^{\alpha_1})^C \to (C^{\alpha_0})^C \to M^C \to 0$$

Since $(C^{\alpha_i})^C \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, C)^{\alpha_i} \cong R^{\alpha_i}$, the desired result follows. □

The following theorem plays a crucial role in proving the main result in this paper.

Theorem 3.2. *A ring R is coherent if every finitely generated submodule of a G_C-projective R-module is G_C-projective.*

Proof. Let M be a finitely generated submodule of a projective R-module. By the hypothesis, M is G_C-projective since every projective R-module is G_C-projective from [9, Proposition 2.6]. It follows from Lemma 3.1 (2) that $M^C \in \mathrm{gen}^*(R)$, and hence it is finitely generated. On the other hand, since M is finitely generated, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to F_0 \to M \to 0,$$

where $F_0 = R^\alpha$ is finitely generated free. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, C)$ to this short exact sequence gives rise to the exactness of $0 \to M^C \to (R^\alpha)^C$. Since $(R^\alpha)^C = \mathrm{Hom}_R(R^\alpha, C) \cong C^\alpha$ is G_C-projective by [9, Proposition 2.6] again, the assumption yields that M^C is G_C-projective. Replacing M with M^C in Lemma 3.1, we get that $M^{CC} \in \mathrm{gen}^*(R)$, and hence finitely presented.

From Lemma 3.1 (1), we know that $M \in \mathrm{cogen}^*(C)$. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C^{\alpha_0} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C^{\alpha_1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \\
0 \arrow[r] & M^{CC} \arrow[r] & (C^{\alpha_0})^{CC} \arrow[r] & (C^{\alpha_1})^{CC} \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Because $(C^{\alpha_i})^{CC} \cong (R^{\alpha_i})^C \cong C^{\alpha_i}$ for each $i \geq 0$, $M \cong M^{CC}$, and consequently finitely presented. Thus, every finitely generated ideal of R is finitely presented, and so R is coherent. $\square$

To prove the coherence of G_C-hereditary rings, we need the following result, which gives some other descriptions of G_C-hereditary rings.

Proposition 3.3. *Let R be a ring, the following are equivalent.*

(1) R is G_C-hereditary.

(2) Every submodule of a G_C-projective R-module is G_C-projective.

(3) Every quotient module of a G_C-injective R-module is G_C-injective.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2) Let M be a submodule of a G_C-projective R-module G, one has an exact sequence $0 \to M \to G \to G/M \to 0$. Because R is G_C-hereditary, $G_C\text{-pd}_R(G/M) \leq 1$, and so M is G_C-projective by [9, Proposition 2.12].

(2) ⇒ (1) Suppose that M is an R-module. There is an exact sequence $0 \to K \to P \to M \to 0$ with P projective, and $K = \mathrm{Ker}(P \to M)$. Because every projective R-module is G_C-projective, the hypothesis yields that K is G_C-projective. Thus $G_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq 1$, as desired.

(2) ⇔ (3) Follows from [10, Theorem 4.4]. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Every G_C-hereditary ring is coherent.*

Proof. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.3. $\square$

In the special case $C = R$, we obtain the main result of [3, Theorem 2.5].

Corollary 3.5. *All Gorenstein hereditary rings are coherent.*

Before starting to study the G_C-semihereditary rings, we first give some equivalent characterizations of G_C-flat dimension.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that R is a coherent ring, and M an R-module with $G_C\text{-fd}_R(M) < \infty$. For an nonnegative integer n, the following are equivalent.*

(1) $G_C\text{-fd}_R(M) \leq n$.

(2) $\mathrm{Tor}^R_{i>n}(M, \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, I)) = 0$ for any injective module I.

(3) In every exact sequence $0 \to K_n \to G_n{-}1 \to \cdots \to G_0 \to M \to 0$, where the G_i are G_C-flat, one has that K_n is also G_C-flat.

Proof. The conclusion follows from [11, Theorem 3.8] and the dual version of [9, Proposition 2.12]. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let R be a ring, the following are equivalent.*

(1) R is G_C-semihereditary.

(2) R is coherent and $G_C\text{-}w\mathrm{gl.dim}(R) \leq 1$.

(3) R is coherent and every submodule of a G_C-flat R-module is G_C-flat.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2) Let M be an R-module. There is an exact sequence $0 \to K \to P \to M \to 0$ with P projective. By the hypothesis, K is G_C-flat. Because R is coherent, every flat R-module is G_C-flat from [11, Corollary 3.9]. Thus $G_C\text{-fd}_R(M) \leq 1$, as desired.

(2) ⇒ (3) Let M be a submodule of a G_C-flat module G. Consider the exact sequence $0 \to M \to G \to G/M \to 0$. The assumption yields $G_C\text{-fd}_R(G/M) \leq 1$, and so M is G_C-flat by Lemma 3.6.

(3) ⇒ (1) Because R is coherent, every flat R-module is G_C-flat from [11, Corollary 3.9]. The assertion obviously holds. □

The next result, together with Corollary 3.4, gives an affirmative answer to Question A.

Theorem 3.8. *If R is a G_C-hereditary ring, then it is G_C-semihereditary.*

Proof. The coherence of R follows from Corollary 3.4. Because G_C-$w$gl.dim$(R) \leq \mathrm{G}_C$-gl.dim$(R) \leq 1$ by [10, Corollary 4.6], Lemma 3.7 implies that R is G_C-semihereditary. $\square$

Recall that an R-module M is called FP-injective if $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0$ for all finitely presented modules N. The FP-injective dimension of M, denoted by FP-id(M), is defined to be the least nonnegative integer n such that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{n+1}(N, M) = 0$ for all finitely presented modules N. If no such n exists, set FP-id$(M) = \infty$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let R be a coherent ring, the following are equivalent.*

(1) FP-id$(C \otimes_R P) \leq n$ for any projective module P,

(2) $\mathrm{fd}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, I)) \leq n$ for any injective module I.

Proof. We use $(-)^+$ to denote the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, E)$, where E is an injective cogenerator for the category of R-modules.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let P be a projective R-module. The hypothesis implies that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i>n}(N, C \otimes_R P) = 0$ for all finitely presented modules N. Because $\mathrm{Tor}^R_{i>n}((C \otimes_R P)^+, N) \cong (\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i>n}(N, C \otimes_R P))^+ = 0$ by [2, Proposition 5.3], $\mathrm{fd}(C \otimes_R P)^+ \leq n$, and so $\mathrm{fd}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, P^+)) \leq n$.

For any injective module I, since P^+ is also an injective cogenerator, I is a direct summands of $\prod P^+$. Thus $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, I)$ is a direct summands of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, \prod P^+) \cong \prod \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, P^+)$, and so $\mathrm{fd}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, I)) \leq \mathrm{fd}(\prod \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, P^+)) \leq n$ from the coherence of R.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose F is a flat R-module, then F^+ is injective, and so $\mathrm{fd}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, F^+)) \leq n$. The adjoint isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, F^+) \cong (C \otimes_R F)^+$ yields $\mathrm{fd}(C \otimes_R F)^+ \leq n$. Thus, for any R-module N, $(\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i>n}(N, C \otimes_R F))^+ \cong \mathrm{Tor}^R_{i>n}((C \otimes_R F)^+, N) = 0$, and hence $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i>n}(N, C \otimes_R F) = 0$. Therefore, $\mathrm{id}(C \otimes_R F) \leq n$, and so FP-id$(C \otimes_R P) \leq \mathrm{id}(C \otimes_R P) \leq n$ for any projective module P. $\square$

The following result gives a partial answer to Question B.

Theorem 3.10. *Let R be a ring with G_C-$w$gl.dim$(R) < \infty$. If every finitely generated submodule of a G_C-projective R-module is G_C-projective, then R is G_C-semihereditary.*

Proof. Let M be a finitely presented R-module, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to P \to M \to 0$$

with P finitely generated projective. Note that R is coherent by Theorem 3.2, it follows that K is finitely generated. By the hypothesis, K is G_C-projective. Thus, one has G_C-$\mathrm{pd}_R(M) \leq 1$ for every finitely presented R-module M, and so $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i>1}(M, C \otimes_R Q) = 0$ for any projective module Q by [9, Proposition 2.12]. This means that FP-$\mathrm{id}(C \otimes_R Q) \leq 1$. By Lemma 3.9, $\mathrm{fd}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, I)) \leq 1$ for any injective module I, which implies that $\mathrm{Tor}^R_{i>1}(N, \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, I)) = 0$ for any R-module N. Since G_C-$\mathrm{fd}(N) < \infty$, Lemma 3.6 yields G_C-$\mathrm{fd}(N) \leq 1$. Therefore, G_C-$w\mathrm{gl.dim}(R) \leq 1$, and hence R is G_C-semihereditary by Lemma 3.7.

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11201220, 11401147).

References

[1] D. Bennis and N. Mahdou, *Global Gorenstein dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 461–465.

[2] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Reprint of the 1956 original, Princeton Landmarks in Math., Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1999.

[3] Z. H. Gao and F. G. Wang, *All Gorenstein hereditary rings are coherent*, J. Algebra Appl. **13** (2014), 1350140 (5 pages).

[4] H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **189** (2004), 167–193.

[5] H. Holm and P. Jϕrgensen, *Semi-dualizing modules and related Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **205** (2006), 423–445.

[6] H. Holm and D. White, *Foxby equivalence over associative rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **47** (2007), 781–808.

[7] J. J. Rotman, An Introductions to Homological Algebra, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

[8] T. Wakamatsu, *Tilting modules and Auslanders Gorenstein property*, J. Algebra **275** (2004), 3–39.

[9] D. White, *Gorensten projective dimension with respect to a semidualizing module*, J. Commut. Algebra **2** (2010), 111–137.

[10] G. Q. Zhao and J. X. Sun, *Global dimensions of rings with respect to a semidualizing module(preprint)*, available from the arXiv:1307.0628 [math.RA].

[11] G. Q. Zhao and X. G. Yan, *Resolutions and stability of C-Gorenstein flat modules*, accepted for publication in Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics.

GUOQIANG ZHAO, Department of Mathematics,
Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou, 310018, PR China
e-mail: gqzhao@hdu.edu.cn

JUXIANG SUN, School of Mathematics and Information Science,
Shangqiu Normal University, Shangqiu, 476000, PR China
e-mail: sunjx8078@163.com